

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/945,655	10/24/1997	JAN-ERIK LOFROTH	1103326-283	2968
, , , , ,	7590 04/16/2002 CASELLP		EXAM	INER
WHITE & CASE LLP PATENT DEPARTMENT 1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			WEBMAN, EDWARD J	
NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 04/16/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Offic Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

LOFROTH Group Art Unit

Examiner

	WfBmAn 1617
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n th cover sheet beneath th correspondence address -
P riod for Reply	1
OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replace of the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, the period shall, by default, the period for reply will by statute.	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). g date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent
Status 12	2+/01
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except f accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935. 	or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Sclaim(s) $1-6$, 12 , $14-29$	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Clạim(s)	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claim(s) 1-6, 12, 14-29	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement
Application Papers	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	d to by the Examiner
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
$\hfill \square$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	ceived.
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	have been received
in this national stage application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
*Certified copies not received:	
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	s) 🗆 Interview Summary, PTO-413
□ Notice of Ref rence(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ Notice f Informal Pat nt Applicati n, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat int Drawing Review, PTO-944	□ Oth r
Office A	tion Summary

Application/Control Number: 08/945,655

Art Unit: 1617

The examiner concurs that the restriction requirement requirement under PCT practice is improper (See MPEP 706.7(h) XIII chart, rof 17 (p.700-81). The requirement is recast under U.S. restriction practice:

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-6, 15-22, 29, drawn to a composition, classified in class 424, subclass 484.

II. Claims 12, 14, 23-28, drawn to a method of using, classified in class 514, subclass 510.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be practiced with a materially different product such as cholestyramine.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Should applicants elect Group I, the following election of species is required:

Claims 4, 6, 15-22 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising polymers. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

 ρ_{g}

Application/Control Number: 08/945,655

Art Unit: 1617

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicants must elect an polymer or polymers.

Should applicants elect Group II, the following election of species is required:

Claim 26 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising polymers. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Webman whose telephone number is (703)

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 08/945,655

Art Unit: 1617

308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minna Moezie, can be reached on (703) 308-0570. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Webman/LR

March 27, 2002

EDWARD J/WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500